IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 7TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 18TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 4176 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

LISSIE JOGGY, AGED 40 YEARS,
W/O.JOGGY VARGHESE, KANJIRAKKAT HOUSE,
KOZHIPALLY P.O., KOTHAMANGALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.MOHAN JACOB GEORGE
SMT.P.V.PARVATHI
SMT.REENA THOMAS
SRI.TITUS THOMAS
SRI.L.RAM MOHAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. DISTRICT COLLECTOR/DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
ERNAKULAM.

2. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
MUVATTUPUZHA.

3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NO.2 SECTION, KSEB, KOTHAMANGALAM.

4. JOSE KANJIRAMMOOTTIL, KANJIRAMMOOTTIL
HOUSE, KOZHIPALLY P.O., KOTHAMANGALAM.

BY SRI.JOSE J.MATHEIKEL – R3
GOVT. PLEADER SMT.N.SUDHADEVI – R1 & R2

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 4176 OF 2007-V

JUDGMENT

The 3rd respondent proposes to draw an electric line through the property of the petitioner, to give power connection to the 4th respondent. The petitioner opposes the said proposal. If that be so, without getting an order from the District Magistrate or the Additional District Magistrate, as the case may be, no line can be drawn through the property of the petitioner. The prayer of the petitioner is to direct the 3rd respondent to refer the matter to the District Collector for his decision. I think, it it unnecessary for the petitioner to make such a prayer. If the 3rd respondent wants to draw the line and the petitioner does not give consent, the said respondent has to move the District Collector to get appropriate orders. In view of the above position, no orders are required in this Writ Petition. Accordingly, it is closed without prejudice to the contentions of the petitioner.

7th February, 2007. K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Nm/